## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

	Plaintiff(s),	CASE NO.	
V.		STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER SELECTING ADR PROCESS	
	Defendant(s).		
	nsel report that they have met ipulation pursuant to Civil L.	and conferred regarding ADR and have reached the R. 16-8 and ADR L.R. 3-5:	
The parties a	agree to participate in the foll	lowing ADR process:	
□ □ (Note: Particappreciably ADR phone ADR Phone	more likely to meet their nee conference and may not file	(ENE) (ADR L.R. 5)  settlement conference with a Magistrate Judge is eds than any other form of ADR, must participate in an this form. They must instead file a Notice of Need for Rule 16-8 and ADR L.R. 3-5)	
The parties a □	referring the case to an A	n by: (The deadline is 90 days from the date of the order DR process unless otherwise ordered.)	
Dated:		Attorney for Plaintiff	
Dated:		Attorney for Defendant	

## Case 5:08-cv-03956-HRL Document 8 Filed 01/23/09 Page 2 of 2

When filing this document in ECF, please be sure to use the appropriate ADR Docket Event, e.g., "Stipulation and Proposed Order Selecting Early Neutral Evaluation."

## [PROPOSED] ORDER

	Pursua	ant to the Stipulation above, the capti	oned matter is here	by referred to:	
		Non-binding Arbitration			
		Early Neutral Evaluation (ENE)			
		Mediation			
		Private ADR			
	Deadl	ine for ADR session			
	$X \times X$	4 90 days from the date of this order.			
		other			
IT IS	SO ORI	DERED.			
Dated	1/14/0	9	8	RV \	
			UNITED STATE	S S	JUDGE